ORIGINAL

Ira Spiro (SBN 67641), ira@spiromoore.com Linh Hua (SBN 247419), linh@spiromoore.com Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417), justin@spiromoore.com SPIRO MOORE LLP 11377 W. Olympic Blvd., 5th Floor Los Angeles, California 90064-1683 Telephone:(310) 235-2468, Fax (310) 235-2456 5 Sahag Majarian II (SBN 146621) Dept. 308 Law Office of Sahag Majarian II 18250 Ventura Boulevard Tarzana, California 91356 Telephone: (818) 609-0807, Fax (818) 609-0892 Sahagii@aol.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs WALTER LINARES, 10 CHARLES R. DUNAWAY, and SANDRA 11 BLACKSHER, individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 14 15 WALTER LINARES, CHARLES Case No. BC 416555 BY FAX DUNAWAY, and SANDRA BLACKSHER, Consolidated with BC 432135 16 individually and on behalf of other persons Assigned for All Purposes to similarly situated, 17 Hon. Jane L. Johnson, Dept. 308 18 Plaintiff, **CLASS ACTION** 19 PLAINTIFFS' ORDER DEFINING 20 VS. CERTIFIED CLASS 21 SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, 22 INC.; and DOES 1 through 50, 23 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28

Order Defining Certified Class

Plaintiffs'

STATEMENT BY PLAINTIFFS

Plaintiffs submit this proposed Order, given that all issues have been resolved concerning the class definition pursuant to the Court's class certification order of June 14, 2012, in that the parties are now agreed on the class definition.

On the present date, July 5, 2012, Defendant filed a "Status Report and Statement Regarding Outstanding Issues." It states, at page 2, lines 12 through 19:

"[Defendant] SUSA proposes the following definition, which is taken from the language in the Court's Order [the June 14, class certification order]:

"Every person (a) who was employed by Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc. as an hourly-paid security guard in California during the period beginning June 26, 2005 through the date notice of class certification is sent to the class, and (b) who was required to report to any Securitas USA branch office, at a time other than during his or her scheduled shift, for one or more of the following: company initiated meetings, investigations or inquiries related to an incident at a post, counseling sessions, discipline, training (except initial orientation training), or receiving notification of suspension or termination of employment."

Plaintiffs stated at oral argument on the motion for class certification that Plaintiffs believe the definition they proposed in the motion for class certification is proper, and Plaintiffs still believe so.

However, the Court has disagreed, and in view of the that, Plaintiffs hereby agree to the definition proposed by Defendant this date, quoted above at lines 9 through 16.

Spiro Moore LLP

by Ira Spiro

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

[proposed order on next page]

Dated: July 5, 2012

ORDER DEFINING CERTIFIED CLASS

Good cause appeared, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the definition of the class certified in this action is as follows (the definition is identical to the definition proposed by Defendant in its "Status Report and Statement Regarding Outstanding Issues," dated July 5, 2012):

"Every person (a) who was employed by Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc. as an hourly-paid security guard in California during the period beginning June 26, 2005 through the date notice of class certification is sent to the class, and (b) who was required to report to any Securitas USA branch office, at a time other than during his or her scheduled shift, for one or more of the following: company initiated meetings, investigations or inquiries related to an incident at a post, counseling sessions, discipline, training (except initial orientation training), or receiving notification of suspension or termination of employment."

J& &

SO ORDERED

JUL - 9 2012

Dated:

JANE L. JOHNSON

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 11377 W. Olympic Blvd., 5th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683. I am employed at that address at the firm of Spiro Moss LLP.

On the date set forth below I served the document(s) described as **PLAINTIFFS'** [**proposed**] **ORDER DEFINING CERTIFIED CLASS** on all the interested parties in this action, by placing: [] the original [xx] true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as follows, which addresses are the addresses last given by the respective addressees on any document filed in the above case and served on Spiro Moss LLP:

Thomas P. Brown, IV Sherry Beth Shavit BROWN GITT LAW GROUP, LLP 300 N. Lake Ave., Ste 200 Pasadena, CA 91101 fax. (626) 229-1917 Email: tbrown@browngitt.com sshavit@browngitt.com	J. Kevin Lilly Sarah M. Milstein Littler Mendelson 2049 Century Park East 5th Floor Los Angeles, LA 90067 klilly@littler.com
David Spivak THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM 9454 Wilshire Blvd. Ste. 303 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 fax (310) 499-4739	Louis Benowitz LAW OFFICES OF LOUIS BENOWITZ 9454 Wilshire Blvd. Penthouse, Ste. 34 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 fax (310) 888-0109
Sahag Majarian, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF SAHAG MAJARIAN 18250 Ventura Boulevard Tarzana, CA 91356 fax (818) 609-0892	Shaun Setareh LAW OFFICES OF SHAUN SETAREH 9454 Wilshire Blvd. Penthouse, Ste. 3 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

BY MAIL (Pickup): I am readily familiar with this firms's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date set forth below, at the firm of Spiro Moss LLP at the above address, I placed the envelope(s) containing said document(s), sealed, for collection and mailing on that date with the United States Postal Service following ordinary business practices. Under the above-mentioned practice of Spiro Moss LLP, the above document(s) would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California.

fax (310) 888.0109

27

28